

No. 008/CRD/013
Government of India
Central Vigilance Commission

Satarkta Bhawan, Block-A,
GPO Complex, INA,
New Delhi-110023.
Dated: 18/5/09

Circular No. 10/5/09

**Subject:- Adoption of Integrity Pact-Standard Operating Procedure-
reg.**

The Commission has formulated "Standard Operating Procedure" for adoption of Integrity Pact in major Govt. Department/organisations. A copy of the same is enclosed for information and necessary action.

Sd/-

(Shalini Darbari)
Director

All Chief Vigilance Officers

**NOTE: SECTION 6.02 (i) & 6.02 (ii) OF THE SOP ON INTEGRITY PACT HAS
BEEN DELETED WITH CIRCULAR No. 31/08/10 DATED 13.8.10.**

Subject:- Adoption of Integrity Pact -Standard Operating Procedure-reg.

1.0 Background

1.01 The Central Vigilance Commission has been promoting Integrity, transparency, equity and competitiveness in Government/PSU transactions and as a part of vigilance administration and superintendence. Public procurement is a major area of concern for the Central Vigilance Commission and various steps have been taken to put proper systems in place. Leveraging technology, especially wider use of the web sites for disseminating information on tenders, clearly defining the pre qualification criteria and other terms and conditions of the tender are some of the steps recently taken at the instance of the Commission. In this context, Integrity Pact (IP), a vigilance tool conceptualized and promoted by the Transparency International, has been found to be useful. The Commission has, through its Office Orders No. 41/12/07 dated 04.12.07 and 43/12/07 dated 28.12.07 and Circulars No. 18/05/08 dated 19.05.08 and 24.08.08 dated 05.08.2008 (copies appended), recommended adoption of Integrity Pact and provided basic guidelines for its implementation in respect of major procurements in the Government Organizations.

2.0 Integrity Pact

2.01 The pact essentially envisages an agreement between the prospective vendors/bidders and the buyer, committing the persons/officials of both sides, not to resort to any corrupt practices in any aspect/stage of the contract. Only those vendors/bidders, who commit themselves to such a Pact with the buyer, would be considered competent to participate in the bidding process. In other words, entering into this Pact would be a preliminary qualification. The essential ingredients of the Pact include:

- Promise on the part of the principal not to seek or accept any benefit, which is not legally available;
- Principal to treat all bidders with equity and reason;
- Promise on the part of bidders not to offer any benefit to the employees of the Principal not available legally;
- Bidders not to enter into any undisclosed agreement or understanding with other bidders with respect to prices, specifications, certifications, subsidiary contracts, etc.
- Bidders not to pass any information provided by Principal as part of business relationship to others and not to commit any offence under PC/IPC Act;
- Foreign bidders to disclose the name and address of agents and representatives in India and Indian Bidders to disclose their foreign principals or associates;

- Bidders to disclose the payments to be made by them to agents / brokers or any other intermediary.
- Bidders to disclose any transgressions with any other company that may impinge on the anti corruption principle.

2.02 Integrity Pact, in respect of a particular contract, would be operative from the stage of invitation of bids till the final completion of the contract. Any violation of the same would entail disqualification of the bidders and exclusion from future business dealings.

3.0 Implementation procedure:

3.01 Adoption of IP is voluntary for any organization, but once adopted, it should cover all tenders / procurements above a specified threshold value.

3.02 The threshold value for the contracts to be covered through IP should be decided after conducting proper ABC analysis and should be fixed so as to cover 90-95% of the total procurements of the organization in monetary terms.

3.03 Apart from all high value contracts, any contract involving complicated or serious issues could be brought within the ambit of IP, after a considered decision of the management

3.04 The Purchase / procurement wing of the organization would be the focal point for the implementation of IP.

3.05 The Vigilance Department would be responsible for review, enforcement, and reporting on all related vigilance issues.

3.06 It has to be ensured, through an appropriate provision in the contract, that IP is deemed as part of the contract so that the parties concerned are bound by its provisions.

3.07 IP should cover all phases of the contract, i.e. from the stage of Notice Inviting Tender (NIT)/pre-bid stage till the conclusion of the contract, i.e. the final payment or the duration of warranty/guarantee.

3.08 IP would be implemented through a panel of Independent External Monitors (IEMs), appointed by the organization. The IEM would review independently and objectively, whether and to what extent parties have complied with their obligations under the Pact.

3.09 Periodical Vendors' meets, as a familiarization and confidence building measure, would be desirable for a wider and realistic compliance of the principles of IP.

3.10 Information relating to tenders in progress and under finalization would need to be shared with the IEMs on monthly basis.

4.0 Role /Functions of IEMs :

4.01 IEM would have access to all Contract documents, whenever required. Ideally, all IEMs of an organization should meet in two months to take stock of the ongoing tendering processes.

4.02. It would be desirable to have structured meeting of the IEMs with the Chief Executive of the organization on a monthly basis to discuss/review the information on tenders awarded in the previous month.

4.03 The IEMs would examine all complaints received by them and give their recommendations/views to the Chief Executive of the organization, at the earliest. They may also send their report directly to the CVO and the Commission, in case of suspicion of serious irregularities requiring legal/administrative action.

4.04 At least one IEM should be invariably cited in the NIT. However, for ensuring the desired transparency and objectivity in dealing with the complaints arising out of any tendering process, the matter should be examined by the full panel of IEMs, who would look into the records, conduct an investigation, and submit their joint recommendations to the Management

4.05 The recommendations of IEMs would be in the nature of advice and would not be legally binding. At the same time, it must be understood that IEMs are not consultants to the Management. Their role is independent in nature and the advice once tendered would not be subject to review at the request of the organization.

4.06 The role of the CVO of the organization shall remain unaffected by the presence of IEMs. A matter being examined by the IEMs can be separately investigated by the CVO in terms of the provisions of the CVC Act or Vigilance Manual, if a complaint is received by him or directed to him by the Commission.

5.0 Appointment of IEMs

5.01 The IEMs appointed should be eminent personalities of high integrity and reputation. The Commission would approve the names of IEMs out of the panel of names, initiated by the organization concerned, in association/consultation with the CVO.

5.02 While forwarding the panel, the organization would enclose detailed bio-data in respect of all names proposed. The details would include postings before superannuation, special achievements, experience, etc., in Government sector. It is desirable that the persons proposed possess domain experience

of the PSU activities or the relevant field with which they may be required to deal.

5.03 A maximum of three IEMs would be appointed for Navratna PSUs and up to two IEMs for others.

5.04 Organizations could propose a panel of more than three names for the consideration of the Commission.

5.05 Persons appointed as IEMs in two organizations would not be considered for a third organization.

5.06 For PSUs having a large territorial spread or those having several subsidiaries, there could be more IEMs, but not more than two IEMs would be assigned to one subsidiary.

5.07 Remuneration payable to the IEMs would be equivalent to that admissible to an Independent Director in the organization. This remuneration would be paid by the organization concerned.

5.08 The terms and conditions of appointment, including the remuneration payable to the IEMs, should not be included in the Integrity Pact or the NIT. They could be communicated individually to the IEMs concerned.

5.09 The normal term of appointment for an IEM would be 3 years, and it would be subject to renewal by the Commission thereafter.

6.0 Review System :

6.01 An internal assessment of the impact of IP shall be carried out periodically by the CVOs of the organizations and reported to the Commission.

6.02 Two additional reviews are envisaged for each organization in due course.

- (i) Financial impact review, which could be conducted through an independent agency like auditors, and
- (ii) Physical review, which could be done through an NGO of tested credibility in the particular field.

6.03 It is proposed to include the progress in the implementation of IP in the Annual Report of the Commission. CVOs of all organizations would keep the Commission posted with the implementation status through their monthly reports or special reports, wherever necessary.

7.0 All organizations are called upon to make sincere and sustained efforts to imbibe the spirit and principles of the Integrity Pact and carry it to its effective implementation.

Enclosures: All earlier guidelines, issued by the Central Vigilance Commission, on the subject.

No.007/VGL/033
Government of India
Central Vigilance Commission

Satarkta Bhawan, Block-A
GPO complex, INA,
New Delhi-110023
Dated the 4th December 2007

Office Order No.41/12/07

Subject: Adoption of Integrity Pact in major Government Procurement Activities- regarding.

Ensuring transparency, equity and competitiveness in public procurement has been a major concern of the Central Vigilance Commission and various steps have been taken by it to bring this about. Leveraging technology specially wider use of the web-sites for disseminating information on tenders, tightly defining the pre-qualification criteria and other terms and conditions of the tender are some of the steps recently taken at the instance of the Commission in order to bring about greater transparency and competition in the procurement/award of tender.

2. In this context, Integrity Pact, a vigilance tool first promoted by the Transparency International, has been found to be useful. The Pact essentially envisages an agreement between the prospective vendors/bidders and the buyer committing the persons/officials of both the parties, not to exercise any corrupt influence on any aspect of the contract. Only those vendors/bidders who have entered into such an Integrity Pact with the buyer would be competent to participate in the bidding. In other words, entering into this Pact would be a preliminary qualification. The Integrity Pact in respect of a particular contract would be effective from the stage of invitation of bids till the complete execution of the contract.

3. The Integrity Pact envisages a panel of Independent External Monitors (IEMs) approved for the organization. The IEM is to review independently and objectively, whether and to what extent parties have complied with their obligations under the Pact. He has right of access to all project documentation. The Monitor may examine any complaint received by him and submit a report to the Chief Executive of the organization, at the earliest. He may also submit a report directly to the CVO and the Commission, in case of suspicion of serious irregularities attracting the provisions of the PC Act. However, even though a contract may be covered by an Integrity Pact, the Central Vigilance Commission may, at its discretion, have any complaint received by it relating to such a contract, investigated.

4. The Commission would recommend the Integrity Pact concept and encourage its adoption and implementation in respect of all major procurements of the Govt. organizations. As it is necessary that the Monitors appointed should be of high integrity and reputation, it has been decided that the Commission would approve the names of the persons to be included in the panel. The Government Organizations are, therefore, required to submit a panel of names of eminent persons of high integrity and repute and experience in the relevant field, through their administrative Ministry, for consideration and approval by the Commission as Independent External Monitors. The terms and conditions including the remuneration payable to the Monitors need not be a part of the Integrity Pact and the same could be separately communicated. It has also

to be ensured by an appropriate provision in the contract, that the Integrity Pact is deemed as part of the contract in order to ensure that the parties are bound by the recommendation of the IEMs, in case any complaint relating to the contract, is found substantiated.

5. A copy of the Integrity Pact, which the SAIL got vetted by the Addl. Solicitor General is available on the Commission's web-site i.e. www.cvc.nic.in as an attachment to this Office Order in downloadable form, which may be used in original or may be suitably modified in order to meet the individual organization's requirements.

Sd/-

(Vineet Mathur)
Deputy Secretary

All Secretaries to the Govt. of India
All CMDs of PSUs All
CMDs of PSBs
All CVOs

Steel Authority of India Limited (SAIL) hereinafter referred to as "The Principal".

And

.....hereinafter referred to as "The Bidder/Contractor"

Preamble

The Principal intends to award, under laid down organizational procedures, contract/s forThe Principal values full compliance with all relevant laws of the land, rules, regulations, economic use of resources and of fairness/transparency in its relations with its Bidder(s) and /or Contractor(s).

In order to achieve these goals, the Principal will appoint an Independent External Monitor (IEM), who will monitor the tender process and the execution of the contract for compliance with the principles mentioned above.

Section 1- Commitments of the Principal.

1. The Principal commits itself to take all measures necessary to prevent corruption and to observe the following principles:-
 - a. No employee of the Principal, personally or through family members, will in connection with the tender for, or the execution of a contract, demand, take a promise for or accept, for self or third person, any material or immaterial benefit which the person is not legally entitled to.
 - b. The Principal will during the tender process treat all Bidder(s) with equity and reason. The Principal will in particular, before and during the tender process, provide to all Bidder(s) the same information and will not provide to any Bidder(s) confidential/additional information through which the Bidder(s) could obtain an advantage in relation to the process or the contract execution.
 - c. The Principal will exclude from the process all known prejudiced persons.
2. If the Principal obtains information on the conduct of any of its employees which is a criminal offence under the IPC/PC Act, or it there

be a substantive suspicion in this regard, the Principal will inform the Chief Vigilance Officer and in addition can initiate disciplinary actions.

Section2- Commitments of the Bidder(s)/ Contractor(s)

1. The Bidder(s)/Contractor(s) commit himself to take all measures necessary to prevent corruption. He commits himself to observe the following principles during his participation in the tender process and during the contract execution.
 - a. The Bidder(s) / contractor(s) will not, directly or through any other persons or firm, offer promise or give to any of the Principal's employees involved in the tender process or the execution of the contract or to any third person any material or other benefit which he/she is not legally entitled to, in order to obtain in exchange any advantage or during the execution of the contract.
 - b. The Bidder(s)/Contractor(s) will not enter with other Bidders into any undisclosed agreement or understanding, whether formal or informal. This applies in particular to prices, specifications, certifications, subsidiary contracts, submission or non submission of bids or any other actions to restrict competitiveness or to introduce cartelization in the bidding process.
 - c. The Bidder(s)/Contractor(s) will not commit any offence under the relevant IPC/PC Act; further the Bidder(s) /Contractors will not use improperly, for purposes of competition or personal gain, or pass on to others, any information or document provided by the Principal as part of the business relationship, regarding plans, technical proposals and business details, including information contained or transmitted electronically.
 - d. The Bidder(s)/Contractor(s) of foreign origin shall disclose the name and address of the Agents/representatives in India, if any. Similarly, the bidder(s)/contractor(s) of Indian Nationality shall furnish the name and address of the foreign principals, if any. Further details as mentioned in the "Guidelines on Indian Agents of Foreign Suppliers" shall be disclosed by the Bidder(s)/Contractor(s). Further, as mentioned in the Guidelines all the payments made to the Indian agent/representative have

to be in Indian Rupees only. Copy of the "Guidelines on Indian Agents of Foreign Suppliers" as annexed and marked as Annexure.

- e. The Bidder(s)/Contractor(s) will, when presenting his bid, disclose any and all payments he has made, is committed to or intends to make to agents, brokers or any other intermediaries in connection with the award of the contract.

2. The Bidder(s)/Contractor(s) will not instigate third persons to commit offences outlined above or be an accessory to such offences.

Section 3: Disqualification from tender process and exclusion from future contracts

If the Bidder(s)/Contractor(s), before award or during execution has committed a transgression through a violation of Section 2, above or in any other form such as to put his reliability or credibility in question, the Principal is entitled to disqualify the Bidder(s)/Contractor(s) from the tender process or take action as per the procedure mentioned in the "Guidelines on Banning of business dealings". Copy of the "Guidelines on Banning of business dealings" is annexed and marked as Annex-"B".

Section 4: Compensation for Damages

1. If the Principal has disqualified the Bidder(s) from the tender process prior to the award according to Section 3, the Principal is entitled to demand and recover the damages equivalent to Earnest Money Deposit/Bid Security.
2. If the Principal has terminated the contract according to Section 3, or if the Principal is entitled to terminated the contract according to Section 3, the Principal shall be entitled to demand and recover from the Contractor liquidated damages of the Contract value or the amount equivalent to Performance Bank Gurantee.

Section 5: Previous Transgression

1. The Bidder declares that no previous transgressions occurred in the last three years with any other company in any country conforming to the anti corruption approach or with any other public sector enterprise in India that could justify his exclusion from the tender process.
2. If the bidder makes incorrect statement on this subject, he can be disqualified from the tender process for action can be taken as per the procedure mentioned in "Guidelines on Banning of business dealings".

Section 6: Equal treatment of all Bidders/Contractors/Subcontractors.

1. The Bidder(s)/Contractor(s) undertake(s) to demand from all subcontractors a commitment in conformity with this Integrity Pact, and to submit it to the Principal before contract signing.
2. The Principal will enter into agreements with identical conditions as this one with all bidders, contractors and subcontractors.
3. The Principal will disqualify from the tender process all bidders who do not sign this Pact or violate its provisions.

Section 7: Criminal charges against violation Bidder(s)/ Contractor(s)/Sub contractor(s).

If the Principal obtains knowledge of conduct of a Bidder, Contractor or Subcontractor, or of an employee or a representative or an associate of a Bidder, Contractor or Subcontractor which constitutes corruption, or if the Principal has substantive suspicion in this regard, the Principal will inform the same to the Chief Vigilance Officer.

Section 8: Independent External Monitor/Monitors

- (1) The Principal appoints competent and credible Independent External Monitor for this Pact. The task of the Monitor is to review independently and objectively, whether and to what extent the parties comply with the obligations under this agreement.
- (2) The Monitor is not subject to instructions by the representatives of the parties and performs his functions neutrally and independently. He reports to the Chairman, SAIL.
- (3) The Bidder(s)/Contractor(s) accepts that the Monitor has the right to access without restriction to all project documentation of the Principal including that provided by the Contractor. The Contractor will also grant the Monitor, upon his request and demonstration of a valid interest, unrestricted and unconditional access to his project documentation. The same is applicable to Subcontractors. The Monitor is under contractual obligation to treat the information and documents of the Bidder(s)/Contractor(s)/Subcontractor(s) with confidentiality.
- (4) The Principal will provide to the Monitor sufficient information about all meetings among the parties related to the Project

provided such meetings could have an impact on the contractual relations between the Principal and the Contractor. The parties offer to the Monitor the option to participate in such meetings.

- (5) As soon as the Monitor notices, or believes to notice, a violation of this agreement, he will so inform the Management of the Principal and request the Management to discontinue or take corrective action, or to take other relevant action. The monitor can in this regard submit non-binding recommendations. Beyond this, the Monitor has no right to demand from the parties that they act in a specific manner, refrain from action or tolerate action.
- (6) The Monitor will submit a written report to the Chairman, SAIL within 8 to 10 weeks from the date of reference or intimation to him by the Principal and, should the occasion arise, submit proposals for correcting problematic situations.
- (7) Monitor shall be entitled to compensation on the same terms as being extended to / provided to Independent Directors on the SAIL Board.
- (8) If the Monitor has reported to the Chairman SAIL, a substantiated suspicion of an offence under relevant IPC/PC Act, and the Chairman SAIL has not, within the reasonable time taken visible action to proceed against such offence or reported it to the Chief Vigilance Officer, the Monitor may also transmit this information directly to the Central Vigilance Commissioner.
- (9) The word 'Monitor' would include both singular and plural.

Section 9 - Pact Duration

This pact begins when both parties have legally signed it. It expires for the Contractor 10 months after the last payment under the contract, and for all other Bidders & months ---- the contract has been awarded.

If any claim is made / lodged during this time, the same shall be binding and continue to be valid despite the lapse of this pact as specified above, unless it is discharged / determined by Chairman of SAIL.

Section 10 - Other provisions

- This agreement is subject to Indian Law, Place of performance and jurisdiction is the Registered Office of the Principal, i.e. New Delhi.
- Changes and supplements as well as termination notices need to be made in writing. Side agreements have not been made.
- If the Contractor is a partnership or a consortium, this agreement must be signed by all partners or consortium members.
- Should one or several provisions of this agreement turn out to be invalid, the remainder of this agreement remains valid. In this case, the parties will strive to come to an agreement to their original intentions.

(For & on behalf of the Principal)

(For & On behalf of Bidder/
Contractor)

(Office Seal)

(Office Seal)

Place -----

Date -----

Witness 1 :
(Name & Address)

Witness 2 :
(Name & Address)

No.007/VGL/033
Government of India
Central Vigilance Commission

Satarkta Bhawan, Block-A
GPO complex, INA,
New Delhi-110023
Dated the 28th December 2007

Office Order No.43/12/07

Subject: Adoption of Integrity Pact in major Government Procurement Activities- regarding.

Reference is invited to Commission's office order no. 41/12/2007 circulated vide letter of even no. dated 4/12/2007 on the aforementioned subject.

2. The Commission vide para 4 of the aforementioned office order had directed that the organizations were required to forward a panel of names of the eminent persons of high integrity through their administrative ministries for consideration and approval by the Commission as IEMs.

3. The matter has been reconsidered by the Commission and in order to simplify the procedure and avoid delay, it has been decided that the organizations may forward the panel of names of eminent persons for appointment and consideration as IEMs directly to the Commission for approval.

4. Para 4 of the Commission's circular cited above stands amended to this extent.

Sd/-

(Vineet Mathur)
Deputy Secretary

All Chief Vigilance officers

No. 008VGL/001
Government of India
Central Vigilance Commission

Satarkta Bhawan, Block-A
GPO complex, INA,
New Delhi-110023
Dated, the 19th May, 2008

Circular No.18/05/08

**Sub:- Adoption of Integrity Pact in major Government Procurement Activities-
regarding.**

The Commission vide its office order no. 41/12/07 dated 4/12/07 had circulated a letter no. 007/vgl/033 emphasizing the need to adopt Integrity Pact (IP) by government organizations in respect of their major procurement activities. The Commission had also directed that in order to ensure compliance with the obligations under the pact by the parties concerned, Independent External Monitors (IEMs) are to be appointed after obtaining approval of the Commission for the names to be included in the panel.

2. As the role of IEMs is very important in ensuring implementation of the IP, it is necessary that the persons recommended for appointment have adequate experience in the relevant fields and are of high integrity and reputation.

3. The Commission would, therefore, direct that the organizations, while forwarding the names of the persons for empanelment as IEMs should send a detailed bio-data in respect of the each of the persons proposed. The bio-data should, among other things, include the postings during the last ten years before the supersession of the persons proposed as IEMs, in case the names relate to persons having worked in the government sector. The bio-data should also include details regarding experience older than ten years before supersession of the persons proposed as IEMs, if they have relevant domain experience in the activities of PSUs where they are considered as IEMs.

This may be noted for future compliance.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Verma)
Under Secretary

All Chief Vigilance Officers

No. 007/VGL/033
Government of India
Central Vigilance Commission

Satarkta Bhawan, Block-A
GPO complex, INA,
New Delhi-110023
Dated the 5th August 2008

Circular No.24/8/08

Subject:- Adoption of Integrity Pact in major Government procurement activities.

The Commission, vide its Circulars No. 41/12/07, dated 4.12.07 and 18/5/08 dated 19.5.08, has emphasized the necessity to adopt Integrity Pact (IP) in Government organizations in their major procurement activities. The Commission had also directed that in order to oversee the compliance of obligations under the Pact, by the parties concerned, Independent External Monitors (IEMs) should be nominated with the approval of the Commission, out of a panel of names proposed by an Organisation.

2. As more and more organizations begin to adopt the Integrity Pact, several queries and operational issues have been raised. The Commission has examined these issues and suggested the following guidelines:

- i. Adoption of Integrity Pact in an organization is voluntary, but once adopted, it should cover all tenders/procurements above a specified threshold value, which should be set by the organization itself.
- ii. IP should cover all phases of the contract i.e., from the stage of Notice Inviting Tender(NIT)/pre-bid stage to the stage of last payment or a still later stage, covered through warranty, guarantee etc.
- iii. IEMs are vital to the implementation of IP and atleast one IEM should be invariably cited in the NIT. However, for ensuring the desired transparency and objectivity in dealing with the complaints arising out of any tendering process, the matter should be referred to the full panel of IEMs, who would examine the records, conduct the investigation and submit a report to the management, giving joint findings.
- iv. A maximum of three IEMs would be appointed in Navratna PSUs and upto two IEMs in other Public Sector Undertakings. The organizations may, however, forward a panel of more than three names for the Commission's approval. For the PSUs having a large territorial spread or those having several subsidiaries, the Commission may consider approving a large number of IEMs, but not more than two IEMs would be assigned to any one subsidiary.

- v. Remuneration payable to the IEMs may be similar to the Independent Directors in the organization.
 - vi. In view of limited procurement activities in the Public Sector Banks, Insurance Companies and Financial Institution, they are exempted from adopting IP.
3. It needs no reiteration that all organizations must make sustained efforts to realize the spirit and objective of the Integrity Pact. For further clarifications on its implementation or the role of IEMs, all concerned are advised to approach the Commission.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Verma)
Under Secretary

All CVOs